RECEIVED

By Linda Amerighi at 10:43 am, Mar 17, 2023

Board of Assessment Appeals Minutes Friday March 10, 2023

Chairman Tom Casey called the regular meeting to order at 5:00 PM. The following members were in attendance: Brent Prindle, Elizabeth Forstmann, Deborah Hanlon, Alt., Mike Taylor, Alt. and the recording secretary.

The agenda was amended by adding line 1a, approve Minutes of 2/21/2023 and a correction to 2a which should be 62 River Road. All were in favor.

The minutes were approved as submitted.

Appeals

2a: 62 River Road. The Kallman's were sworn in. Stephen explained the ownership issues and requested that the board take into consideration why their 490 application was filed late. Chairman Casey explained the statutory requirements of the filing deadlines. The Board thanked them for coming and would discuss their appeal and notify them of any decision within 2 weeks.

2b: 128 Knibloe Hill Road. Betsy Ennis and Richard Olcott were sworn in. Chairman Casey explained that while going over the appeals with the Assessor, it was suggested that the parcel should be changed from residential land to excess acreage. The board will discuss the change and will notify them of the final determination by mail within 2 weeks. The board thanked them for coming.

2c: 69 Mudge Pond Road. Mr. and Mrs. Karson were sworn in. They explained that the purchase price for the house was much lower than the current appraisal that is on file with the Assessor's office. Chairman Casey explained the process in valuation of property and asked if they had an appraisal done relative to the 2018 revaluation. They did not. The Board thanked them for coming and would discuss their appeal and notify them of any decision within 2 weeks.

2d: 29 Jackson Road. Mark and Blake Morrison were sworn in. They explained that they were notified that the property in 490 had been removed due to no filing of application. The application was then submitted after the deadline for filing. Chairman

Casey explained the statutory requirements of the filing deadlines. The Board thanked them for coming and would discuss their appeal and notify them of any decision within 2 weeks.

The hearings were closed.

2a: The board discussed the required filing deadline of Oct. 31, 2022 and understand that while the owners tried to do the correct thing, the Board of Assessment Appeals has no other option but to enforce the statutory deadlines. Motion was made and seconded to deny the appeal. Motion carried

2b: As this was discussed during the hearing, a motion was made and seconded to reduce the property assessment from \$64,700 to \$10,100. Motion carried.

2c: The Board agreed that the appeal request was not warranted due to the lack of supporting documentation. However, during deliberations, it was noted that the subject property was rated as AAA on the Assessors Card. After reviewing other dwellings with an AAA rating, it was decided to review with the Assessor changing the rating to AA+ or AA. Casey will bring the information to the Board.

2d: The board discussed the requirements of filing and this follows the same process as the appeal of 2a., Statutory deadlines. A motion was made and seconded to deny the appeal. Motion carried.

At 7:00 PM a motion was made and seconded to reconvene on Monday at Noon due to weather. Motion carried.

Respectfully submitted,

Linda R. Amerighi Recording Secretary